

From: scott_detrow@witf.org
Sent: 4/27/2012 11:48:38 AM
To: "Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Kulik.Michael@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: RE: dep/epa questions

Thanks – however the deadline for my story is today. I am including relevant comments from Lisa Jackson on the Dimock issue – an interview she did with one of my colleagues in January. I've also found relevant info on both Dimock and mining permits on the DEP site.

From: Michael Kulik [mailto:Kulik.Michael@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2012 11:42 AM
To: Scott Detrow
Subject: Re: dep/epa questions

Hi Scott,
I am just sending this to let you know that we are working on responding to your request and I will be in touch. Meanwhile, with regard to your last question, you should find useful information on the EPA website for PA under the Dimock subheading. Go to <http://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/states/pa.html>
From: "Scott Detrow" <scott_detrow@witf.org>
To: Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/24/2012 01:30 PM
Subject: dep/epa questions

Hi –

In terms of a radio interview, I'm looking for someone who can speak broadly about the way EPA envisions its relationship with state environmental protection departments – is it more of an overseer or collaborator? I'd also like to ask about how EPA would describe its relationship with PA's DEP right now – constructive? Tense?

I'm also looking for explanations on why EPA took the following steps:
-What led EPA to begin reviewing PA's mining-related NPDES permits in 2010?
-why did EPA deliver water to Dimock residents and conduct further tests?

I don't need those last two on tape. I'm fine if you can point me to already-released information on these two incidents, or link me up with the right EPA person.

Thanks,

Scott Detrow
Reporter, StateImpact Pennsylvania
p: 717-395-2657 Twitter: @scottdetrow
sdetrow@stateimpact.org